## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 1 6 2007

## VIA FACSIMILE - 3 SHEETS TO FAX NO. 571-273-8300

3357-Z

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re application of

Frederick A. Parker

Examiner Ramesh Krishnamurthy

Serial No. 10/681,748

Art Unit 3753

Filed: October 9, 2003

For: FLUID CONTROL SYSTEM FOR PRECISELY CONTROLLING

A FLOW OF FLUID

## REPLY BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a Reply Brief in the above-identified application directed to the Examiner's Answer mailed May 14, 2007.

At pages 6 and 7 of the Examiner's Answer, the Examiner in paragraph 10 provides a section entitled "Response to Argument". In this response, the Examiner seeks to convert the pressure regulator 420 of Balazy et al (US 6,152,162) (hereinafter Balazy or Balazy et al) into a "control valve." The Examiner states:

Balazy et al clearly states that the controller (405) "continuously adjusts (as required)" valve (420)...."

Col. 9, lines 56-61 of Balazy reads as follows:

Electronics module 405, in turn, continuously monitors the input data and continuously adjusts (as required) pressure regulator 420 to insure that the actual flow through the system precisely corresponds to that desired. (Emphasis added.)

Balazy never characterizes his pressure regulator 420 as a "valve" as the Examiner seeks to do in his "Response to Argument" section.

Furthermore, Figs. 1 and 3 of Balazy do show solenoid valves: shutoff valve 30 in Fig. 1 which is full opened or full closed in Fig. 1, but control is by ways of pressure regulator 20 and the control thereabove is discussed at col. 4, lines 25 - 60. general, the pressure differential across the flow restrictor is measured, and the pressure regulator 20 upstream of the flow restrictor is regulated. The control valve or "shut off valve 30" is merely an "on/off" unit. In Fig. 3, a valve 130 operates to bypass the flow restrictor 128. Note that the pressure regulator 120 regulates the flow pressure through the unit, and hence the shutoff valve 130 does not operate in the manner inferred by the Examiner. In short, the Examiner's reference to Fig. 3 and the use of the term "valve" in that Balazy discussion of embodiment is a "red herring" and has no bearing whatsoever on the Examiner's effort to convert Balazy's pressure regulator 420 into a valve which is pulsed at a frequency by a signal to obtain a preset target of pressure across the flow restrictor.

The Examiner states:

Balazy et al does <u>not explicitly state</u> that a flow control valve <u>cannot</u> be used for the purpose of flow regulation as set forth in their invention. (Emphasis added.)

What this statement has to do with the point of whether or not a valid §102 anticipation rejection has been made is not clear. The

JIM ZEGEER

Ø1003/003

JUL 1 6 2007

Serial NO.	10/681,/4	8	• •		• • •	• •		P	age 3
Examiner's	argument	simply	does	not	amount	to	much	against	: the
words of Ba	lazy's sp	ecifica	tion 1	ceadi	ng:				,

The present invention features a method and system for controlling the rate of fluid, and particularly gas, flow which uses pressure regulation rather than a control valve. (Balazy specification, sentence bridging cols. 1 and 2, emphasis added.)

The Examiner has clearly erred and should be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,

Jim Legeer, Reg. No. 18,957 Attorney for Appellant

Suite 108 801 North Pitt Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Telephone: 703-684-8333

Date: <u>July 16, 2007</u>

In the event this paper is deemed not timely filed, the applicant hereby petitions for an appropriate extension of time. The fee for this extension may be charged to Deposit Account No. 26-0090 along with any other additional fees which may be required with respect to this paper.

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature: Date: July 16, 2007